

United States Patent and Trademark Office

in 5

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,031	10/04/2001	Eugeni Namsaraev	STAN-202	2881
24353 7.	590 03/18/2005		EXAM	INER
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			MARTINELL, JAMES	
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			1634	
				_

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/972,031	NAMSARAEV ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Martinell	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may mmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of n statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M pply will, by statute, cause the application to become as after the mailing date of this communication, even	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>04 January 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	on for allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28 and 30-45</u> is/are pe	nding in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34-44</u> is.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,30-33 and 45</u> is/are	rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-		
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a		to by the Examiner	
-	pjection to the drawing(s) be held in abe	•	
		ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attack	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of		3	
	ty documents have been received.		
	ty documents have been received in	n Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies	es of the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage	
application from the Interna	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certified copies r	not received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 	` _	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/4/05.

6) Other: _____.

Claims 34-44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the response filed April 14, 2003.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-28, 30-33, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are vague and indefinite.

- (a) The recitation of "a perfectly matched region of complementarity" (claim 1) is vague and indefinite because the metes and bounds of the claim are not clear.
- (b) The recitation of "modified DNA (mDNA) (claim 8) is vague and indefinite because the instant application does not distinguish modified DNA from unmodified DNA.
- (c) The recitation of "distinct sequence" (claims 15 and 16) is vague and indefinite because the instant application does not distinguish a distinct sequence from an indistinct sequence.
- (d) The recitation of "detectably labeled" (claim 28) is vague and indefinite because the instant application does not distinguish a detectable label from an undetectable label.

(e) The recitation of "no more than about 60° C" (claim 30) is vague and indefinite because the metes and bounds of the claim are not clear. For example, it is not clear whether a temperature of 61° C is included in the limitation because 62° C is "about" 60° C and is not more than 62° C, but is clearly more than 60° C.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nedbal et al (Biochemistry 36: 13552 (1997)). Nedbal et al teaches the use of tetralkylammonium salts (*e.g.*, CTAB and others) to increase the association rate of nucleic acids in nucleic acid molecular hybridization reactions (*e.g.*, see paragraph bridging pages 13552-13553 and paragraph bridging pages 13556-13557).

Art Unit: 1634

The reaction conditions taught in Nedbal et al are embraced by the claim (*e.g.*, 100mM NaCl and 10mM MgCl₂ (paragraph bridging pages 13552-13553).

Claims 1-28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cronin et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,027,880) in view of Nedbal et al (Biochemistry 36: 13552 (1997)). Cronin et al teaches the hybridization of multiple nucleic acids on arrays to detect single nucleotide differences (*e.g.*, see columns 38-42). Cronin et al further teaches the use of association enhancers (*e.g.*, column 47), albeit at higher salt concentrations than required in the instant claims. Nedbal et al teaches the use of tetralkylammonium salts (*e.g.*, CTAB and others) to increase the association rate of nucleic acids in nucleic acid molecular hybridization reactions (*e.g.*, see paragraph bridging pages 13552-13553 and paragraph bridging pages 13556-13557). The salt concentration taught in Nedbal et al falls within the limits set in the instant claims (*e.g.*, 100mM NaCl and 10mM MgCl₂ (paragraph bridging pages 13552-13553). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to run nucleic acid molecular hybridization assays as taught in Cronin et al under the association enhancer conditions taught by Nedbal et al in order to speed up the reassociation rate for the probes and targets in the nucleic acid molecular hybridization reaction as taught by Nedbal et al and thus increase productivity.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Martinell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0719. The fax phone number for Examiner Martinell's desktop workstation is (571) 273-0719. Only documents such as those intended for use in a personal or telephone interview should be faxed to the examiner's desktop workstation. Any Official Communication to the USPTO should be faxed to (571) 273-8300.

The examiner works a flexible schedule and can be reached by phone and voice mail.

Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be e-mailed to james.martinell@uspto.gov. Since e-mail communications may not be secure, it is suggested that information in such requests be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,031

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-0745.

OFFICIAL FAX NUMBER

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any Official Communication to the USPTO should be faxed to this number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

James Martinell, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1634